From topology optimization results for the valve flow channel, a parametric CAD model is generated for a parameter-based optimization and robustness analysis.

**Introduction**

In recent years, the reduction of pollutant emissions has become a significant issue for environmental policy, not only because of the latest emission scandals. Consequently, the reduction of pollutant emissions is an eminently important factor in the development of fuel engines. One of the most decisive factors for the reduction of pollutants is the exhaust gas recirculation (EGR). The principle of recirculation ensures that the exhaust gas is partially resupplied to the incoming fresh air, resulting in a reduction of nitrogen oxide emission. Here, an optimized geometry regarding flow-technical aspects essentially ensures a lower pressure loss and a consistent fluid flow.

**EGR-process & optimization goal**

In this study, optimization objectives for EGR flap valve have been focusing on fluid mechanical characteristics at two load cases for the following reasons:

1. At full load (EGR valve is closed), the lowest possible pressure loss in the entire exhaust gas system is aspired to achieve low fuel consumption of the combustion engine.
2. At partial load (EGR valve is opened; Fig.1), a low-loss flow into the EGR passage is desired in order to ensure a small scavenging gradient and low throttling to reach the required EGR rate. Additionally, uniform mass flow distribution is required upstream of the EGR cooler in order to ensure high cooling efficiency which is advantageous regarding fuel efficiency of the engine as well.

**Fig. 1: Flow chamber of an EGR valve**
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Thus, the objective function includes the reduction of the pressure loss at full load as well as the uniformity of flow towards the EGR cooler in the partial load case.

**Frontloading & optimization workflow**

The optimization workflow (Fig. 2) is applied at an early project stage in order to reduce product development time, to improve technical product quality and to consider maximum innovation potential at the same time. In this context, it is necessary to support the design team already in the offering phase in order to realize active frontloading. For this purpose, a topology optimization of the flow channel is executed for the maximum available design space by the use of Simulia Tosca Fluid. The result is a first conceptual and highly innovative design for both load cases. In the next step, both designs are converted into a parametric Dassault CATIA V5 CAD model which takes manufacturing constraints into account. The implementation of manufacturing constraints and a strong collaboration with the design team during the CAD parameterization ensures meaningful parametric optimization results and reduces the effort in transferring the optimized geometry into the detailed design. By varying the CAD parameters, resulting geometries from topology optimization for both load cases as well as further design states can be represented. The CAE workflow automation and simulation model setup for parametric CAD geometry is defined by the use of ANSYS Workbench. Afterwards, the ANSYS Workbench project is linked to the process steering and optimization software optiSLang. Reasonably, numerical DoE and sensitivity analysis are executed as initial steps in order to explore the entire design space and to build up a database for metamodeling. The sensitivity analysis in particular provides better understanding of complex technical systems and, therefore, adds considerable value to the product know-how. As aforementioned, the resulting database is used to create numerical metamodels which can be referred to optimization algorithms in order to reduce the required amount of CAE solver runs. A minimized optimization objective in combination with a parametric CAD model yields to a design which covers improved flow characteristics for both load cases. Finally, robustness evaluations of the optimized design are executed with regard to scattering measures in the manufacturing process or in the operating conditions.

**Toplogy optimization**

Toplogy optimization is a non-parametric approach (Fig. 3). In this study, the software Simulia Tosca FLUID simulates the sand deposit behavior of rivers to detect an optimal flow channel design for the available design space. Thus, it is a bionic approach which is able to result in very innovative design concepts. This is furthermore promising in the context of application of modern manufacturing processes (i.e. ALM).

This approach is typically used in tasks dealing with pressure loss reduction, flow homogenization and mass flow balancing. Since only an available design space and load case is required for this optimization type, it can be used perfectly for frontloading activities.

**Boundary conditions & design space**

First, the boundary conditions for the fluid mechanical simulation are defined corresponding to the load case of interest. In the following step, locations of the fluid inlet and outlet as well as the boundaries of the design space are determined. The flap operation area is defined as non-design space. Thus, it is not possible to apply a topology optimization algorithm for the sedimentation.

**Optimization & sedimentation**

During the optimization run with Simulia TOSCA Fluid, a connected ANSYS Fluent simulation is performed in the background. Additional variables represent the sedimentation behavior. TOSCA Fluid iteratively evaluates those variables for each mesh cell in the design space of the current iteration. It deactivates or sediments cells with regard to occurring backflow or no flow. Hence accessible design space is iteratively modified for Simulia TOSCA Fluid. Finally, the topology optimization algorithm converges to a flow channel contour which provides "positive" flow for all mesh elements. All sediments elements are eliminated in the resulting geometry file.

**Meshing & simulation setup**

The CAD design space is defined with Dassault CATIA V5 and meshed with ANSYS ICEM CFD. Afterwards, the resulting mesh is imported into ANSYS Fluent in order to define the CFD simulation model setup used by Simulia Tosca Fluid. Performing a test is recommended in order to check whether the simulation setup is suitable for a topology optimization run. The topology optimization is carried out separately for each of the two load cases with corresponding boundary conditions:

- LC1: Full load, flap closed
- LC2: Partial load, flap opened

**Fig. 2: Optimization workflow**

**Fig. 3: Principle of topology optimization**

**Fig. 4: Results of the topology optimization**
Smoothing & significant features
The final step in topology optimization is the smoothing proc-
process. Since the result of the raw topology optimization shows
tessellated surfaces representing the unsedimented cells of
the design space (i.e. flow channel contour), it cannot be
used for the further CFD process. A simulation run validating
the results of the topology optimization is required due to as-
sumptions related to optimization principles (i.e. resolution of
near wall flow is insufficient during topology optimization).
Thus, the unsedimented mesh elements are smoothed with
regard to the flow direction in order to provide a homoge-
neous flow channel contour. Depending on the optimization
task, smoothing results may be not satisfying. Consequently,
a geometry return after the topology optimization has to be
performed with the CAD software. From the smoothed results
of the topology optimization of the EGR flap valve, significant
characteristics can be derived for both load cases.

As shown in Fig. 4, increasing cross-sectional areas down-
stream of the inlet and upstream of the outlet resulting in
bulgy shapes are noticeable. In addition, there is a continu-
ous curvature targeting towards the outlet without consid-
ering the maximum dimension of the flap operating area.
In contrast to LC1, a continuous curvature is modified in
regard to the flow direction in order to provide a homoge-
neous flow channel contour. Depending on the optimization
task, smoothing results may be not satisfying. Consequently,
a geometry return after the topology optimization has to be
performed with the CAD software. From the smoothed results
of the topology optimization of the EGR flap valve, significant
characteristics can be derived for both load cases.

In order to create a parameterized CAD flow channel, which
is capable of accurately representing the results from the topol-
yogy optimization, a total of four supporting cross-sections
are defined (Fig. 5): the inlet (IN), the outlet (OUT), the flap seat
(TH) and the intermediate position between inlet and flap
(ZW). The last one is variable parallel to the flow line. The four
cross-sections are connected via spline curves with an offset of
90° (along circumference) at four support points (1, 2, 3 and 4).
The curve stiffness and curve starting angle can be modified
at each supporting point for each spline curve. The stiffness
is a measure which determines the influence of the angle at
a certain distance from the supporting point along the spline
curve. Finally, the support cross-sections and spline curves are
used to model a closed volume for the EGR flow channel. This
procedure results in a total of 56 input parameters.

Afterwards, the geometry of the flap is added to the com-
ponent including the connection to the EGR cooler (Fig. 6).
Furthermore, geometric manufacturing restrictions are im-
plemented. During the parametric optimization, both load

CAD-implementation
At first, only the flow channel is modeled and parameter-
ized by the design department. Afterwards, the flap with
its associated connection to the EGR cooler as well as the
draft angles are added to the CAD-model. Spline curves are
used to represent the complex surface of the flow channel
contour resulting from topology optimization runs. For this
purpose, the smoothed resulting geometries from Simulua
TOSCA Fluid are visualized using a line curve model, which
is derived from cut planes located orthogonal to the main
flow direction in specified intervals.
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at each supporting point for each spline curve. The stiffness
is a measure which determines the influence of the angle at
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Afterwards, the geometry of the flap is added to the com-
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plemented. During the parametric optimization, both load

Sensitivity analysis
A numerical Design of Experiment (DoE) is performed using
state-of-the-art space-filling sampling plans like Latin Hyper-
cube Sampling (LHS). Due to its independence from the num-
ber of input variables, a maximum of approximately 120 solver
runs is required to provide a useful database for metamodel-
ing. The input parameters (CAD, operating conditions etc.) are
varied based on the DoE plan and output parameters (systems
responses which are part of the objective function and con-
straints) are determined. The DoE results are investigated in a
sensitivity analysis to identify important parameters as well as
correlations and non-correlations. The resulting metamodel is a
n-dimensional mathematical description of the most important
correlations and interdependencies and can be used by optimi-
zation algorithms or, for example, as a pre-dimensioning tool.

Design of Experiments (DoE) & metamodel
In this study, 148 designs (including failed designs) are gener-
ated using the method of Advanced Latin Hypercube Sampling.
Due to the complexity of the geometry model, the dependen-
cies, correlations and sensitivities of the parameters are diffi-
cult to describe. A gradual reduction of parameter limits and
cases use identical flow channel contours, but the flap posi-
tion differs. Thus, two models are created: one with closed
flap for LC1 and one with open flap for LC2. The two resulting
geometries from the topology optimization can now be rep-
resented via a certain input parameter set for each load case.

Parameter optimization
Optimization algorithms are used to vary the input param-
eters of the parameterized model. They minimize the objec-
tive function under the consideration of constraints. Single or
multiple objective optimizations could be performed. To have
a sufficient starting point for the optimization run, it is recom-
pended to use the best design of the DoE as start design. If a
metamodel with high prognosis quality is available, it is used
in the pre-optimization. The Pre-optimization uses algorithms,
such as Evolutionary Algorithms (EA) which are not limited to
a number of input parameters but require a lot of iterations.
Since a metamodel is used to determine the system response
and no additional solver runs are required, more than 1000
iterations are not critical. Thus, pre-optimization is a “cheap”
method which helps to identify a sensitive subspace for the
final optimization. This optimization is conducted to find the
global optimum in the design space. For this task, particular
algorithms are used, such as adaptive response surface meth-
ods (ARSM). However, they cause limitations, i.e. max. 15 input
parameters. In addition, the final optimization is “expensive”
since it performs solver runs in each optimization iteration.

The objective function for optimization is defined on the ba-
sis of the output parameters which are minimized regarding
the constraints. The minimization of the total pressure loss
in LC1 is the most important objective valued with a rating of
60 percent. The equal distribution of the flow towards the
EGR cooler is weighted at 30 percent and the static pressure
loss in LC2 in the direction of the cooler at 10 percent. In or-
der to achieve the EGR rate, it is important to develop a coun-
bypass is very noticeable in the geometry derived from the parameter optimization. However, compared to the topology optimization, the same extent of shape development cannot be observed. The inner restriction does not occur as a result of the parameter optimization. As another characteristic outcome of the topology optimization, the bulgy shape at the inlet as well as the outlet to LC1 does not appear as a result of the parameter optimization. The tendency is rather towards the narrow connection at the inlet, which is the result of the topology optimization in LC2.

Robustness evaluation
A robust design is defined as a part which is less sensitive towards inevitable scattering of input parameters (material, geometry, load cases etc.). Thus, the variability of the product behavior is reduced. This in return leads to an improved predictability and has a positive influence on the assessment of risk. By applying uncertainties and scattering in terms of statistical measures on the optimized design, the robustness can be evaluated (sigma level). In this study, the scattering of possible influencing factors on the flow of the exhaust gases in the valve is considered, e.g. the temperature of the exhaust gas, the mass flow, the pressure of the flow, the flap angle, the accuracy of the flap and the production tolerances of the components.

As a result of the robustness evaluation, a very dominant influence of the ambient pressure and temperature can be observed. The scattering of the production conditions causes only minimal influences. This is an important conclusion for the tolerance management in the manufacturing process. Furthermore, it can be seen that the inaccuracy of the angular adjustment has no influence on the scatter of the result values. This is also useful information for the setting of the motor operating points.

Conclusion
Fig. 11 and 12 show the changes in geometry and its flow characteristics after each optimization step. Here, the evolution of the geometry shape can be seen. The external shape of the geometry especially changes, since the responsible sensitive parameters were determined. The locally occurring maximum pressure on the flow channel wall decreases as a result of the optimization. In addition, the pressure difference between the inlet and the outlet has dropped, which can be seen in the color scale. This is due to the changed geometry for the redirection of the flow. This feature represents a contrast compared to the topology optimization where the outer radius has a harmonically rounded shape around the flap operating area.

Fig. 11 shows the process of how the EGR valve could be optimized in comparison with the reference geometry. The continuous improvement indicates the benefit of each optimization step. In Fig. 12, the result values regarding the objective function variables are compared. The value of the objective function has decreased by 38 percent. The total pressure loss for LC1, as the most important variable, could even be reduced by almost 45 percent.